# SURVEY... FUTURES

SURVEY DATA COLLECTION **METHODS COLLABORATION** 

# **Research Strand 5:** Complex measurement in selfcompletion surveys Lisa Calderwood (UCL)































#### What will be addressed



- Focus on four specific types of complex measures which are important for many surveys and where there are distinct challenges to administer online without detriment to data quality and/or comparability.
- Industry and occupation coding prone to mode-effects, data quality issues online (e.g. Peycheva et al, 2021)
- Consent rates for data linkages, bio-sample, re-contact agreement rates tend to be substantially lower online (e.g. Jackle et al. 2021)
- Event history data data quality issues online (e.g. Emery et al., 2018)
- Cognitive function comparability issues across modes (e.g. Ofstedal et al., 2021)







#### Aims of the research



- Review of existing evidence on mode and measurement effects
- Investigate how best to collect these kinds of data in online surveys
- Conduct new analysis of existing data, designed to address key knowledge gaps identified by the review.
- Existing potential data sources:
  - NatCen panel (industry and occupation)
  - 2021 ONS Census-Link Study (industry and occupation)
  - Next Steps (industry and occupation, cognition, consent)
  - Generations and Gender Survey (industry and occupation, histories, consent)







#### The team



- Matt Brown, UCL Centre for Longitudinal Studies
- Lisa Calderwood, UCL Centre for Longitudinal Studies (work package lead)
- Joanne D'Ardenne, NatCen
- Curtis Jessop, NatCen
- Olga Maslovskaya, Southampton







### The outputs



 Practical guidance on how to effectively implement high quality complex measurement online

• Research outputs using secondary analysis on high-priority topics







## What you would find most useful?



- Your opportunity to feedback
- Suggestions for other data sources/surveys very welcome





